

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,310	06/26/2003	Alan Lyndon Grantz	STL 3110	8018
50269	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER	
SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY c/o MOFO SF			KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL	
	5 MARKET ST. N FRANCISCO, CA 94105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/609,310	GRANTZ, ALAN LYNDON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin Krause	3682				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ıly 200 <u>6</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,11-16,18,19 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,11-16,18,19 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/609,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-16, 18-19, 22-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,101,085. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the present invention and the issued patent claim a hydrodynamic bearing for a motor with first and second conical bearing sections, the first section being integral to the shaft, the second being affixed to the shaft, a radial capillary seal comprising a fluid reservoir and a vent hole intermediate the first and second conical bearings with a vent comprising a bore extending from the central region of the shaft through the sleeve to the outer surface of the sleeve.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,310 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-16, 18-19, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murthy et al. (US Pat. 6,144,523) in view of JP 2002-122134.

Murthy discloses an electric motor (the magnet and stator assemblies are inherent to the drive) for a disk drive (fig. 1) comprising a fixed shaft; a first conical bearing integral with a first end of the shaft (fig. 3); a second conical bearing fixed to a second end of the shaft (fig. 3); and v-shaped, asymmetric (given the conical surface; fig. 5B) grooves pumping toward the outer ends of the shaft; a vented plenum 126 separating the first and second conical bearings; a rotatable sleeve 110 disposed around the shaft and the first and second conical bearing; and a fluid between the first and second conical bearings and the sleeve, wherein each of said first and second conical bearings is sealed by a capillary seal at a wider end of the bearing (generally 122 area serves as seals); wherein the capillary seal defines a fluid reservoir and wherein the capillary seal is a centrifugal capillary seal, at least one conical bearing is formed integral with the shaft and includes an opening therein extending to an end of the shaft (see cross-section of figure 3).

Murthy does not disclose the vented plenum extending through the sleeve to an outer surface of the sleeve.

Art Unit: 3682

JP 2002-122134 teaches a vented plenum (22 combined with 23) which extends from the shaft, through the sleeve to an outer surface of the sleeve to discharge air from the bearing. (abstract)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a vented plenum pass through the sleeve to the outer surface of the sleeve as taught by JP 2002-122134, the motivation would have been to discharge air from inside the bearing to the atmosphere.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-5, 7-8, 11-16, 18-19, and 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,310

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK 9/26/06

Qcc RICHARD RIDLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5